

PROPOSED RULE MAKING

CR-102 (June 2004)
(Implements RCW 34.05.320)

Agency: Department of Health - Board of Pharmacy		Do NOT use for expedited rule making				
Preproposal Statement of Inquiry was filed as WSR Expedited Rule MakingProposed notice was filed as WSR Proposal is exempt under RCW 34.05.310(4).	; or ; or	☐ Original Notice ☐ Supplemental Notice to WSR ☐ Continuance of WSR WSR 06-10-082				
Title of rule and other identifying information: (Describe Subject) CHANGE IN THE LOCATION of the rules hearing and to CORRE written comment.	The purpose of the CT THE EMAIL	he continuance is to notify the public of a				
Hearing location(s): Department of Labor & Industries, 7273 Linderson Way SW, Tumwater WA 98501	Submit written comments to: Name: Tim Fuller Address: PO Box 47863, Olympia WA 98504-7863 e-mail http://www3.doh.wa.gov/policyreview/					
	fax (360) <u>586-4359</u> by (date) <u>July 19, 2006</u>					
Date: <u>July 20, 2006</u> Time: <u>10:00 a.m.</u>	Assistance for persons with disabilities: Contact					
Date of intended adoption: <u>July 20, 2006</u> (Note: This is NOT the effective date)	<u>Doreen Beebe (360) 236-4834</u> by <u>July 5, 2006</u> TTY (800) <u>833-6388</u> or () <u>711</u>					
hospitals and healthcare facilities, which the current rules do not medication safety, appropriate access to medications, and account WAC 246-869-102, mechanical devices in hospitals, applies to demechanical device rule will remain in place. Reasons supporting proposal: Hospitals and other healthcare fact and distribute medications. To protect and improve public health automated drug distribution devices meet appropriate standards to the standards of the stand	ntability, particular levices that are no levices that are no littles have purch the Board of Ph	arly for controlled substances. The current rule of available. For the few devices in use, the assed automated drug distribution devices to store parmacy establishes protocols to ensure that				
Statutory authority for adoption: 18.64.005 RCW	Statute being i	mplemented:				
Is rule necessary because of a: Federal Law? Federal Court Decision? State Court Decision? If yes, CITATION: Yes No Yes No	CODE REVISER USE ONLY CODE REVISER'S OFFICE STATE OF WASHINGTON FILED					
NAME (type or print) NAME (type or print)		MAY 2 4 2006				
STEVEN SAXES	The state of the s	0.08				
TITLE Executive Director	TIM	06.11-163 PM				

Agency comm matters:	nents or recommendations, if an	y, as to statutory [anguage, i	mplementation	on, enforcem	ent, and fisc	cal
	•				,		
		•					
		•					-
Name of prop	onent: (person or organization)					Private	
	·				•	☐ Public ☐ Governme	antal
Name of agen	ncy personnel responsible for:						- Ital
Tunito of agon	Name	Office Location	•		•	Phone	
Drafting						()	
Implementation.		· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					•
Enforcement						(')	
Has a small b	usiness economic impact state	ment been prepare	ed under ch	1apter 19.85 i	RCW?		
☐ Yes. At	tach copy of small business econd	omic impact stateme	ent.				
Ac	copy of the statement may be obta	ined by contacting:					
N	lame:	_			•		-
P	Address:	* .					
					•		
	hone ()						•
	ax ()						
е	-mail						
☐ No. Ex	plain why no statement was prepa	red.					
		·					
		,				•	
			2			•	•
					•		
-	•						
				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
is a cost-ben	efit analysis required under RC\	W 34.05.328?					
☐ Yes	A preliminary cost-benefit analysis	may be obtained b	y contacting	g:			•
1	Name:	•	,	-			
'	Address:		ν.	·			
	•		•				,
	nhono ()						,
	ohone ()						
	e-mail						
□ No: 1	Please explain:						
	Today originally		٠				
		.*			1 12 m		•
1	and the second of the second o						